

# MINUTES

## GREAT MAPLESTEAD PARISH COUNCIL

Parish Council Meeting held on Wednesday 12<sup>th</sup> August 2021 Present Cllr.M.Elms (Chairman).Cllr.S.Harris.Cllr.P.Haylock.Cllr.I.Johnson.Cllr.J.Newton. Cllr.S.Last. Cllr.Maile Mrs.A.Crisp – Clerk. Members of the Public – 7.

1. **Apologies and reasons for absence**– None
2. **Declarations of Interest** - Agenda Item 4.2 – Cllr.Newton declared a Non-Pecuniary Interest as owner of neighbouring land, he opted to act and vote. Cllr.Johnson declared a Non-Pecuniary Interest as a near neighbour, he opted to act and vote. Agenda item 4.3 – Cllr.Newton declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest as land owner. He did not participate in proceedings.
3. **Public question time** The Chairman advised he would allow questions as each business item was addressed.
4. **Planning**
  - 4.1 **21/02302/VAR Highview, Treeways, Church Street.** *Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) of permission 15/00914/FUL granted 23/12/2015 for replacement dwelling for Highview (Treeways) & erection of 2 dwellings. Variation would allow alterations to fenestration and replace render with brickwork and cladding.* Cllr.Elms proposed a response of "2no comments, no objections". Seconded Cllr.Harris. Vote in favour - Unanimous
  - 4.2 **21/02191/VAR New Barn Farm, Purls Hill.** *Removal of condition 2 (agricultural occupancy) of planning approval P/BTE/0596/82/FL/H granted 17 8 82 for proposed conversion of existing barn building.* Cllr.Elms clarified that the agricultural tie related to the residential home only and not the associated land. Cllr.Elms proposed the council support the application to remove the agricultural tie. Seconded Cllr.Haylock. Vote in favour 3 – NOT CARRIED.
  - 4.3 **21/02426/T56 Land near to Little Lodge Farm, Little Maplestead.** *The installation of a 25m high column with 3 antenna & 2 dishes. Installation of 4 equipment cabinets & associated ancillary development thereto.* District Cllr.P Schwier, advised on planning process. Parishioner concerns raised regarding environmental impact, closeness to local airfields, visual impact on Grade II listed building and increased traffic to site if multiple telecoms providers. The council advised parishioners to make representations to BDC Planning if they had concerns/comments to make. Cllr.Elms proposed the council object to the proposal for the following reasons:-
    - Material considerations have not been met. There are airfields within 3km of the proposed mast and as such, it is a planning requirement that the airfield owners should be contacted as part of the pre-planning process. The supplementary information indicates there are no airfields – which is incorrect.
    - The site is within 200m of a Grade II listed building.
    - An ecological survey should be conducted of the specified area before any work commencesSeconded Cllr.Harris. Vote in favour Unanimous.
5. **Items for Next Agenda** None
6. **Date of Next Meeting**  
**8<sup>th</sup> September 2021 at 7.30pm**  
MEETING CLOSED 8.25pm

Signed.....

Dated 8<sup>th</sup> September 2021